

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Magnetic properties of Pnma-R<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> oxides (R = Sm, Eu, Dy and Ho)

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1996 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 8 8607 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/8/44/012)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.207 The article was downloaded on 14/05/2010 at 04:26

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# Magnetic properties of Pnma-R<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> oxides (R = Sm, Eu, Dy and Ho)

G F Goya<sup>†</sup><sup>‡</sup>, R C Mercader<sup>†</sup>, M T Causa<sup>§</sup> and M Tovar<sup>§</sup>

† Departamento de Física, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, 1900 La Plata, Argentina § Instituto Balseiro and Centro Atómico Bariloche, 8400 Bariloche, Argentina

Received 20 May 1996

**Abstract.** In this work, we report DC susceptibility measurements in the range 1.8–300 K on *Pnma*-R<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> oxides with R = Sm, Eu, Dy and Ho. Eu<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> displays Van Vleck paramagnetism which corresponds to the singlet ground state <sup>7</sup>F<sub>0</sub> of Eu<sup>+3</sup> ions, with a spin-orbit coupling constant value of  $\lambda = 365(2)$  cm<sup>-1</sup>. Sm<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> also behaves as a Van Vleck paramagnet, with the magnetic ground multiplet split under the crystal–field interaction. For this compound we found that the ground state corresponds to an effective spin state  $S (\pm \frac{1}{2})$ , with effective magnetic moment  $\mu_{eff} = 0.32(1)\mu_B$ , and Van Vleck constant  $\alpha_1 = 9.2(2) \times 10^{-4}$ . For R = Dy and Ho, the magnetic susceptibility obeys a Curie–Weiss law down to T = 1.8 K, with effective moments close to the free-ion values. The absence of magnetic ordering above 1.8 K, together with the Curie temperatures  $\theta_{Dy} = -15.1(8)$  K and  $\theta_{Ho} = -11.3(8)$  K of these compounds, indicate the existence of competing interactions that frustrate the magnetic ordering.

#### 1. Introduction

Ternary oxides of general formula  $R_2BaMO_5$  (R = Y or rare earth and M = 3d transition metal) crystallize in four different structural types, depending on the R and M elements involved [1]. Systematic studies of these structures [2–7] with nearly all R and M elements revealed that the crystal structure of the different compounds is strongly associated with the 3d transition metal, but is almost independent of the R ion involved.

These systems also display a diversity of magnetic structures, many of them of low dimensionality [1, 8, 9]. Magnetic properties of *Pnma*-R<sub>2</sub>BaCuO<sub>5</sub> members with magnetic R atoms have been investigated [10–13] but there is not yet a satisfactory explanation for the observed behaviour. This is mainly due to the coexistence of competing interactions that require complex descriptions in terms of intra- and inter-sub-system (R–R, R–M and M–M) parameters. It is therefore desirable to investigate the R–R and M–M intra-sub-lattice interactions separately, which would also help to clarify the R–M coupling mechanisms.

In order to study the R–R interactions, the R<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> compounds provide a suitable frame because diamagnetic Zn<sup>2+</sup> ions do not disturb the magnetic properties of the R sublattices. Since these compounds are isomorphous to R<sub>2</sub>BaCuO<sub>5</sub>, similar R–R exchange interactions are expected for both systems. In this paper we report DC magnetization measurements on R<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> with R = Eu, Sm, Dy and Ho in the range 1.8 K  $\leq T \leq$  300 K and discuss the interpretation of the experimental data in terms of current theoretical models.

‡ Present address: Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 66318, 05389-970 São Paulo, Brazil.

### 2. Experimental

Samples of R<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> (R = Eu, Sm, Dy and Ho) were prepared by standard solid state reaction from R<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (99.999%), CO<sub>3</sub>Ba (99.99%) and ZnO (99.999%) powders mixed in stoichiometric amounts. The homogenized mixture was first fired in air at 500 °C, then re-ground and re-heated three times at 900 °C for 24 h. Powder x-ray diffraction characterization was performed using Cu-K $\alpha$  radiation. X-ray data were refined using Rietveld profile analysis [14]. The DC magnetic susceptibility was measured in a SQUID magnetometer, in the range 1.8–300 K, in applied fields  $H = 10^{-3}$ –1 T.

#### 3. Results and discussion

X-ray data of all samples showed a single phase that was indexed with an orthorhombic unit cell, *Pnma* space group, in agreement with previous structural data [4]. Figure 1 shows the magnetic susceptibility data of Eu<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub>. The shape of the curve is characteristic of a Van Vleck paramagnet [15], with a constant value for the lower temperature range and a decreasing value when  $T \ge 100$  K. The ground state  ${}^{7}F_{0}$  of Eu<sup>3+</sup> is non-magnetic and the excited states  ${}^{7}F_{J}$  are close enough to give energy differences comparable to kT at room temperature ( $\approx 205$  cm<sup>-1</sup>). When Russell–Saunders coupling is assumed, the energy levels  $W_{J}$  can be written as [15]

$$W_J = \frac{\lambda}{2} [J(J+1) - L(L+1) - S(S+1)] + A \tag{1}$$

where  $\lambda$  is the spin-orbit coupling constant and A is a constant independent of J. The susceptibility per mole of Eu<sup>3+</sup> is then given by

$$\chi(\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}) = \left(\frac{C}{T}\right) \frac{(24/\gamma) + (13.5 - (3/2\gamma)) \,\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma} + (67.5 - (5/2\gamma)) \,\mathrm{e}^{-3\gamma} + \cdots}{1 + 3 \,\mathrm{e}^{-\gamma} + 5 \,\mathrm{e}^{-3\gamma} + \cdots}$$
(2)

with  $\mathbb{C} = [N_A \mu_B^2/(3k)]$ , where  $N_A$  and  $\mu_B$  are Avogadro's number and the Bohr magneton, respectively. The parameter  $\gamma = \lambda/(kT)$  is the ratio between the multiplet width and the thermal energy. Subsequent terms give negligible contributions to  $\chi(T)$  in this temperature range.

The best fit to the experimental data is shown in figure 1 with a continuous line and corresponds to  $\lambda = 365(2)$  cm<sup>-1</sup>. This value is close to that previously reported [16] for EuAlO<sub>3</sub> (370 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and about 20% larger than the value found [17] in Eu<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> single crystals (303 cm<sup>-1</sup>). The differences between these estimations may be due to additional contributions to  $\chi(T)$  from the magnetic Cu ions in Eu<sub>2</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>. The obtained value of  $\lambda$  indicates that the excited states are close enough to the ground state to have a non-negligible population at room temperature, giving the observed Curie-like behaviour in the high-temperature region ( $T \ge 100$  K). At low temperatures, only the non-magnetic ground state J = 0 of Eu<sup>3+</sup> is populated and the susceptibility becomes temperature-independent. Structural studies on Eu<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> have shown that Eu ions occupy two inequivalent sites in the structure [18], with distorted trigonal symmetry. However, our measurements on powder samples do not allow the observation of the crystal field anisotropies.

The molar magnetic susceptibility of  $\text{Sm}_2\text{BaZnO}_5$  is displayed in figure 2. At low temperatures, only the magnetic  ${}^6\text{H}_{5/2}$  ground multiplet is populated, which is split by the crystal field. The susceptibility per mol of  $\text{Sm}^{3+}$  ions is given by [15]

$$\chi(\mathrm{Sm}^{3+}) = \frac{1}{8} \frac{(C_1/(T-\Theta)) + \alpha_1 + (C_2/(T-\Theta)) e^{-\Delta/T_+} \cdots}{3 + 4 e^{-\Delta/T_+} \cdots}$$
(3)



**Figure 1.** Experimental (circles) magnetic susceptibility versus temperature for Eu<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub>. The best fit to  $\chi(T)$  (full line), using equation (2), was achieved for  $\lambda = 365(2) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ .

where  $\Delta$  is the energy difference between the ground and first excited multiplet, and  $C_i$  and  $\alpha_1$  are the Curie and Van Vleck constants respectively.  $\Delta$  and  $\alpha_1$  are not independent since  $\alpha_1 = 1.07/\Delta$ , if  $\Delta$  is given in kelvins. Similarly to the Eu<sup>3+</sup> case, for Sm<sup>3+</sup> one also expects a non-negligible contribution from the excited state  ${}^{6}\text{H}_{7/2}$ , which is  $\Delta = 1100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  above the  ${}^{6}\text{H}_{5/2}$  ground state [19]. In the 200 K < T < 300 K region, the main contributions are given by

$$\chi(\text{Sm}^{3+}) = \frac{C_1}{T} + \alpha_1 + \frac{C_2}{T} e^{-\Delta/T}$$
(4)

with  $C_2 = 7.44 \times 10^{-2}$ . The fit to the experimental data (the full line in figure 2) gives  $C_1 = 0.0545(1)$  emu K mol<sup>-1</sup> and  $\alpha_1 = 6.23(4) \times 10^{-4}$  emu mol<sup>-1</sup> for the Curie and Van Vleck constants respectively. The corresponding value for the calculated effective moment is  $\mu_{eff} = 0.66(2)\mu_B$ .

The susceptibility in the low-temperature region (taken as  $T \leq 10$  K) was fitted using the expression

$$\chi(\mathrm{Sm}^{3+}) = \frac{C_1'}{T - \Theta} + \alpha_1' \tag{5}$$

where we have introduced a Curie–Weiss behaviour to take into account the Sm–Sm exchange interactions. The best fit to the experimental data (see figure 2) corresponded to  $\Theta = -1.0(1)$  K,  $\alpha'_1 = 9.2(2) \times 10^{-4}$  emu mol<sup>-1</sup> and  $C'_1 = 0.0131(5)$  emu K mol<sup>-1</sup>. These results indicate a strong reduction of the magnetic moment at low temperature  $(\mu'_{eff} = 0.32(1)\mu_B)$ , probably due to crystal field splitting.

In the simplest case of an axial crystalline field, the ground state doublet may be either  $S(=\pm\frac{1}{2})$  or  $S(=\pm\frac{5}{2})$ , with effective magnetic moments of  $0.25\mu_B$  and  $1.24\mu_B$  respectively. Our results from the low-temperature region indicate that the ground state is closer to  $S = \pm\frac{1}{2}$ . At higher temperatures the  ${}^{6}\text{H}_{7/2}$  excited state becomes accessible, contributing to the total measured susceptibility (the third term in equation (4)). At room temperature, the free-ion magnetic moment ( $\mu_{eff}^{free} = 0.84\mu_B$ ) is not fully recovered.



**Figure 2.** Magnetic susceptibility versus temperature data for  $Sm_2BaZnO_5$ . The high (equation (4)) and low (equation (5)) temperature fits to  $\chi(T)$  are shown by full lines.

Previous specific heat and optical absorption measurements in Sm<sub>2</sub>BaCuO<sub>5</sub> [11, 20] have shown two separate Néel temperatures at  $T_{N1} = 22$  K and  $T_{N2} = 5$  K, assigned to Cu and Sm ordering respectively. Our small value of  $\Theta_{Sm}$  in Sm<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub>, suggests that Sm ordering in Sm<sub>2</sub>BaCuO<sub>5</sub> may be partially induced by the Cu sub-lattice.

Figure 3 shows the magnetic susceptibility data of Dy<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> and Ho<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> in the range 1.8 K  $\leq T \leq 300$  K at H = 50 mT. Both systems are paramagnetic down to 1.8 K, and were fitted with a Curie–Weiss law. The effective magnetic moments obtained for Dy<sup>3+</sup> and Ho<sup>3+</sup> in R<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> (table 1) are similar to previous values found in R<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [1, 21] and RBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub> [22, 23]. These values are consistent with the expected ones within the L–S Russell–Saunders coupling scheme (labelled  $\mu_{Hund}$  in table 1), assuming that  $\Delta E \gg kT$  at room temperature, where  $\Delta E$  is the energy difference between the excited and ground states of the electronic multiplet.

**Table 1.** Mean field parameters obtained from a Curie–Weiss fit of  $\chi(T)$ .  $\mu_{exp}$ , experimental magnetic moments;  $\Theta$ , Weiss temperature. Errors are quoted in parentheses. The calculated magnetic moments within Hund's approximation ( $\mu_{Hund}$ ) are shown for comparison. Data for Gd<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> are from [24].

Compound	$\mu_{exp}$	$\mu_{Hund}$	Θ (K)
Gd <sub>2</sub> BaZnO <sub>5</sub>	7.99(1)	7.94	-15.9(3)
Dy2BaZnO5	10.84(2)	10.65	-15.1(8)
Ho <sub>2</sub> BaZnO <sub>5</sub>	10.34(2)	10.61	-11.3(8)

We have recently reported [24] magnetic susceptibility and electronic paramagnetic resonance (EPR) measurements on Gd<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub>, which showed that the antiferromagnetic interactions present can produce long-range ordering of the Gd sub-lattice at  $T_N$ (Gd<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub>) = 2.3 K. As discussed there, the ratio between the Néel and Curie–Weiss temperatures,  $|\Theta_{Gd}|/T_N \approx 7$ , indicates the existence of competing interactions that lead to



**Figure 3.** Magnetic susceptibility versus temperature data for Dy<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> and Ho<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub>. The insets show the  $d(\chi T)/dT$  curves for each compound.

magnetic frustration in the system, originated in the multiplicity of R–R exchange pathways of this structure [24]. The coincident values found for the Curie–Weiss temperatures  $\Theta_{Ho}$ ,  $\Theta_{Dy}$  and  $\Theta_{Gd}$ , together with the common crystal structure of these compounds, suggest that similar exchange paths might be operative in all R<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> samples.

It is interesting to note that the highest Néel temperature for the R sublattice  $(T_{N1})$ in the R<sub>2</sub>BaCuO<sub>5</sub> series is found [11] for R = Gd, with  $T_{N1}$ (Gd<sub>2</sub>BaCuO<sub>5</sub>) = 12 K. Correspondingly, the only transition above 1.8 K observed so far in the R<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> family is for R = Gd ( $T_N$ (Gd<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>2</sub>) = 2.3 K). The d( $\chi T$ )/dT curves for R = Dy and Ho (insets of figure 3), have very similar slopes and absolute values to those measured for Gd<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> near  $T_N$ . This similarity suggests that the same R–R exchange interactions become operative, in the  $T \leq 5$  K temperature range, for these systems. Thus it is plausible that the ordering temperature for Dy<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> and Ho<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> might be slightly below the lowest temperature of our experiment. Susceptibility measurements below T = 1.8 K would help to clarify this hypothesis.

In summary, we have measured the magnetic susceptibilities of several members of the  $R_2BaZnO_5$  oxide system, in which the only magnetic ions are the rare earths. We have found Van Vleck magnetism and estimated the energy levels of the electronic multiplets for R = Eu and Sm in  $R_2BaZnO_5$ . For Sm<sup>3+</sup> ions in Sm<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub>, we have found evidence

that the ground state is  $S = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ . The compounds with  $R = Dy^{3+}$  and  $Ho^{3+}$  do not display magnetic ordering above 1.8 K. A mean field analysis leads to a relation of  $\Theta/T_N > 5$  both for Dy<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub> and for Ho<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub>, which indicates the existence of magnetic frustration in these systems, similar to previous findings in Gd<sub>2</sub>BaZnO<sub>5</sub>.

## Acknowledgments

We acknowledge partial support from the Consejo de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas through the LANAIS and TENAES programmes and PID 92 and from the Commission of the European Communities DGXII, contract CI1\*CT92-0087. GFG also acknowledges support from CONICET and the Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica during his stay in Bariloche.

#### References

- [1] Sáez-Puche R and Hernandez-Velasco J 1994 Adv. Mater. Res. 1 65
- [2] Michel C and Raveau B 1982 J. Solid State Chem. 39 161
- [3] Michel C and Raveau B 1982 J. Solid State Chem. 42 176
- [4] Michel C and Raveau B 1983 J. Solid State Chem. 49 150
- [5] Schiffler S and Müller-Buschbaum H K 1987 J. Less Common Met. 128 117
- [6] Buttner R H and Maslen E N 1993 Acta Crystallogr. B 49 62
- [7] Salinas-Sanchez A and Sáez-Puche R 1993 Solid State Ionics 63-65 927
- [8] Sachan V, Buttrey D J, Tranquada J M and Shirane G 1994 Phys. Rev. B 49 14
- [9] Batlogg B, Cheong S W and Rupp L W 1994 Physica B 194 173
- [10] Burriel R, Castro M, Piqué C, Salnas-Sánchez A and Sáez-Puche R 1992 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 104–107 627
- [11] Levitin R Z, Mill B V, Moshchalkov V V, Samarin N A, Snegirev V V and Zoubkova J 1990 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 90–91 536
- [12] Mehran F, Barnes S, Giess E A and McGuire T R 1988 Solid State Commun. 67 55
- [13] Golosovsky I V, Böni P and Fischer P 1993 Solid State Commun. 87 1035
- [14] Skthivel A and Young R A 1991 DBWS-9006PC, Program for Rietveld analysis of x-ray powder diffraction patterns, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta
- [15] Van Vleck J H 1965 The Theory of Electric and Magnetic Susceptibilities (London: Oxford University Press)
- [16] Holmes L, Sherwood R and Van Uitert L G 1969 Phys. Rev. 178 576
- [17] Tovar M, Rao D, Barnett J, Oseroff S, Thompson J D, Cheong S-W, Fisk Z, Vier D C and Schultz S 1989 Phys. Rev. B 39 2661
- [18] Michel C and Raveau B 1982 J. Solid State Chem. 43 73
- [19] Arajs S 1960 Phys. Rev. 120 756
- [20] Paukov I V, Popova M N and Mill B V 1992 Phys. Lett. 169A 301
- [21] Seaman C L, Ayoub N Y, Bjørnholm T, Early E A, Ghamathy S, Lee B W, Markert J T, Neumeier J J, Tsai P K and Maple M B 1989 *Physica* C 159 391
- [22] Kazei Z A, Kolmakova N P, Levitin R Z, Mill B V, Moshchalkov V V, Orlov V N, Snegirev V V and Zoubkova Ja 1990 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 86 124
- [23] Ganguly P, Sreedhart K, Raju A R, Damazeau G and Hagenmuller P 1989 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 1 213
- [24] Goya G F, Mercader R C, Steren L B, Sánchez R, Tovar M and Causa M T 1996 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 8 4529